

Atty. Docket No. YOR9-2000-0168US1  
(590.014)

**Amendments to the Claims:**

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application.

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUN 21 2007

**Listing Of Claims:**

1. (Currently Amended) A method of providing speaker recognition, said method comprising the steps of:

providing a model corresponding to a target speaker, the model being resolved hierarchically into at least one frame comprising a plurality of levels of phonetic detail of varying resolution;

receiving an identity claim, wherein the identity claim is a test utterance and at least further wherein features are extracted from the test utterance;

ascertaining whether the identity claim corresponds to the target speaker model;

said ascertaining step comprising the steps of:

determining, for each frame and each level of phonetic detail of the target speaker model, a likelihood value; and

resolving the at least one likelihood value to obtain a likelihood score;

wherein the likelihood values are determined utilizing grain-specific weights.

Atty. Docket No. YOR9-2000-0168US1  
(590.014)

2. **(Previously Presented)** The method according to Claim 1, wherein, for each frame and each level of phonetic detail, the likelihood value is a maximum likelihood value.

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUN 21 2007

3. **(Original)** The method according to Claim 2, wherein said step of resolving the at least one likelihood value comprises averaging the at least one likelihood value.

4. **(Original)** The method according to Claim 3, wherein the likelihood value is determined via the following general equation:

$$S(U|M) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^L \sum_{t=1}^T b_{i,j(i,t)} P(u_t | M(i, j(i,t))) ;$$

wherein  $b_{i,j(i,t)}$  corresponds to grain-specific weights that satisfy

$$\sum_{i=1}^L \sum_{j=1}^{K(i)} b_{ij} = 1 ;$$

and further wherein:

$S$  is the likelihood score;

$U$  is a test utterance, comprising  $T$  frames  $u_1, \dots, u_T$ ;

$M(i,j)$  is a speaker model, with  $1 \leq i \leq L$  levels of detail and with  $1 \leq j \leq K(i)$  units on the  $i$ -th level; and

$P(u_t | M(i,j))$  is the probability that a frame  $u_t$  corresponds to a speaker model unit  $j$  on the  $i$ -th level of phonetic detail of the speaker model.

Atty. Docket No. YOR9-2000-0168US1  
(590.014)

5. (Original) The method according to Claim 4, wherein the likelihood score is determined by the following equation:

$$S(U|M) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^T \max_{1 \leq l \leq L, 1 \leq j \leq K(i)} P(u_i | M(i, j)) .$$

6. (Original) The method according to Claim 1, wherein the at least one level of phonetic detail comprises at least one of the following: a global level; a phonemic level and a sub-phonemic level.

7. (Original) The method according to Claim 6, wherein the at least one level of phonetic detail comprises all of the following three levels: a global level; a phonemic level and a sub-phonemic level.

8. (Original) The method according to Claim 7, wherein said step of providing a model corresponding to a target speaker comprises creating said target speaker model on the basis of training utterances and providing labeling information for each frame.

9. (Original) The method according to Claim 1, wherein said ascertaining step further comprises accepting or rejecting the identity claim.

10. (Original) The method according to Claim 9, wherein said step of accepting or rejecting comprises comparing a quantity based on the likelihood score to a predetermined threshold value.

Atty. Docket No. YOR9-2000-0168US1  
(590.014)

11. **(Original)** The method according to Claim 10, further comprising the steps  
of:

providing at least one model corresponding to at least one background speaker;

and

determining the quantity based on the likelihood score via employing the at least  
one background speaker model.

12. **(Original)** The method according to Claim 11, wherein said step of  
determining the quantity based on the likelihood comprises determining a log-likelihood  
ratio based on the likelihood score.

13. **(Previously Presented)** The method according to Claim 12, wherein the log-  
likelihood ratio is determined by the following equation:

$$L = S(U | M) - \frac{1}{C} \sum_{i=1}^C S(U | BG_i);$$

wherein:

$L$  is the log-likelihood ratio;

$S$  is the likelihood score;

$U$  is a test utterance, comprising  $T$  frames  $u_1, \dots, u_T$ ;

$M$  denotes the target speaker model; and

$BG_i$  denotes the  $i$ -th background model.

Atty. Docket No. YOR9-2000-0168US1  
(590.014)

**14. (Currently Amended)** An apparatus for of providing speaker recognition,  
said apparatus comprising:

a target speaker model generator for generating a model corresponding to a target  
speaker, the model being resolved hierarchically into at least one frame comprising a  
plurality of levels of phonetic detail of varying resolution;

a receiving arrangement for receiving an identity claim, wherein the identity claim  
is a test utterance, and further wherein features are extracted from the test utterance;

a decision arrangement for ascertaining whether the identity claim corresponds to  
the target speaker model;

said decision arrangement being adapted to:

determine, for each frame and each level of phonetic detail of the target  
speaker model, a likelihood value; and

resolve the at least one likelihood value to obtain a likelihood score;

wherein the likelihood values are determined utilizing grain-specific weights.

**15. (Previously Presented)** The apparatus according to Claim 14, wherein, for  
each frame and each level of phonetic detail, the likelihood value is a maximum  
likelihood value.

Atty. Docket No. YOR9-2000-0168US1  
(590.014)

16. (Original) The apparatus according to Claim 15, wherein said decision arrangement is adapted to resolve the at least one likelihood value via averaging the at least one likelihood value.

17. (Original) The apparatus according to Claim 16, wherein the likelihood value is determined via the following general equation:

$$S(U|M) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^L \sum_{t=1}^T b_{i,j(i,t)} P(u_t | M\{i, j(i,t)\}) ;$$

wherein  $b_{i,j(i,t)}$  corresponds to grain-specific weights that satisfy

$$\sum_{i=1}^L \sum_{j=1}^{K(i)} b_{ij} = 1 ;$$

and further wherein:

$S$  is the likelihood score;

$U$  is a test utterance, comprising  $T$  frames  $u_1, \dots, u_T$ ;

$M(i,j)$  is a speaker model, with  $1 \leq i \leq L$  levels of detail and with  $1 \leq j \leq K(i)$  units on the  $i$ -th level; and

$P(u_t|M(i,j))$  is the probability that a frame  $u_t$  corresponds to a speaker model unit  $j$  on the  $i$ -th level of phonetic detail of the speaker model.

18. (Original) The apparatus according to Claim 17, wherein the likelihood score is determined by the following equation:

Any. Docket No. YOR9-2000-0168US1  
(590.014)

$$S(U|M) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \max_{1 \leq i \leq L, 1 \leq j \leq K(i)} P(u_t | M(i, j)) .$$

19. **(Original)** The apparatus according to Claim 14, wherein the at least one level of phonetic detail comprises at least one of the following: a global level; a phonemic level and a sub-phonemic level.

20. **(Original)** The apparatus according to Claim 19, wherein the at least one level of phonetic detail comprises all of the following three levels: a global level; a phonemic level and a sub-phonemic level.

21. **(Original)** The apparatus according to Claim 20, wherein said target speaker model generator is adapted to generate said target speaker model on the basis of training utterances and providing labeling information for each frame.

22. **(Original)** The apparatus according to Claim 14, wherein said decision arrangement is further adapted to accept or reject the identity claim.

23. **(Original)** The apparatus according to Claim 22, wherein said decision arrangement is adapted to accept or reject the identity claim via comparing a quantity based on the likelihood score to a predetermined threshold value.

24. **(Original)** The apparatus according to Claim 23, further comprising:  
  
a background speaker model generator for providing at least one model corresponding to at least one background speaker;

Atty. Docket No. YOR9-2000-0168US1  
(590.014)

said decision arrangement being adapted to determine the quantity based on the likelihood score via employing the at least one background speaker model.

25. **(Original)** The apparatus according to Claim 24, wherein said decision arrangement is adapted to determine the quantity based on the likelihood via determining a log-likelihood ratio based on the likelihood score.

26. **(Previously Presented)** The apparatus according to Claim 25, wherein the log-likelihood ratio is determined by the following equation:

$$L = S(U|M) - \frac{1}{C} \sum_{i=1}^C S(U|BG_i);$$

wherein:

$L$  is the log-likelihood ratio;

$S$  is the likelihood score;

$U$  is a test utterance, comprising  $T$  frames  $u_1, \dots, u_T$ ;

$M$  denotes the target speaker model; and

$BG_i$  denotes the  $i$ -th background model.

27. **(Currently Amended)** A program storage device readable by machine, tangibly embodying a program of instructions executable by the machine to perform method steps for providing speaker recognition, said method comprising the steps of:



Atty. Docket No. YOR9-2000-0168US1  
(590.014)

providing a model corresponding to a target speaker, the model being resolved hierarchically into at least one frame comprising a plurality of levels of phonetic detail of varying resolution;

receiving an identity claim, wherein the identity claim is a test utterance, and further wherein features are extracted from the test utterance;

ascertaining whether the identity claim corresponds to the target speaker model;

said ascertaining step comprising the steps of:

determining, for each frame and each level of phonetic detail of the target speaker model, a likelihood value; and

resolving the at least one likelihood value to obtain a likelihood score;

wherein the likelihood values are determined utilizing grain-specific weights.